

A compliment to Senator Anderson and a rebuke to the people of the State, who did not feel that he was able to add much to what the Senator from Richmond had said on the day before, but he wanted to say a few words on the subject. He read from the Supreme Court of the United States, the substance of which was that the use of liquor was not per se an evil; that the use of it might be abused, as the use of other things might be abused.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Anderson said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.



"Boys will be boys!" Who would have them anything else?

Here is Real Boys' Clothing. We do not talk about "juvenile attire" or "wearing apparel for little gentlemen." Good plain, common sense English, and good honest suits for real boys are good enough for our trade.

\$2.50 to \$9.00.

O. H. BERRY & CO.

In favor of the Mann bill but he thought it a compromise, though not a compromise of principle. As it was a compromise, he did not see how the Senate could not take another step and adopt the Bryant amendment.

The amendment was opposed by Mr. Harman. He said the Mann bill had been so short of its chief provisions that it was difficult for him to sum up the courage to vote for what little remained of it. He said that he was like a sheep dumb before the slaughter and had been led by the Finance Committee to take a half loaf rather than no bread.

The Senator declared that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

The Senator declared that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

The Senator declared that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

The Senator declared that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

The Senator declared that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

The Senator declared that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

The Senator declared that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

The Senator declared that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

The Senator declared that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

The Senator declared that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

The Senator declared that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

The Senator declared that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

The Senator declared that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

The Senator declared that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

The Senator declared that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

The Senator declared that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

The Senator declared that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

The Senator declared that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

The Senator declared that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

The Senator declared that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

The Senator declared that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

The Senator declared that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

The Senator declared that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

The Senator declared that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

The Senator declared that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

vote to have the Mann bill apply to the whole State.

AMENDMENT DEBATED.

The amendment was lost by the following vote:

Ayes—Messrs. Bruce, Byars, Cromwell, Dinwiddie, Ford, Harman, Harvey, Massie, McIlwaine—15.

Noes—Messrs. Barkdale, Chapman, Claytor, Cogbill, Donohoe, Gold, Halsey, Keesell, Lupton, Mann, Sale, Sears, Shackelford, St. Clair, Tyler, Wallace, Watkins, Wickham—18.

Mr. Cogbill offered an amendment designed to place in the same class as of towns of 500 inhabitants the towns of Swansboro and Pittsboro, and others like them which were not incorporated, but have police protection, and where liquor licenses now are sold.

The Mann bill provides that in cities or towns of 500 inhabitants, where police protection is afforded, license may be granted.

The Cogbill amendment places unincorporated towns of over 500 inhabitants in the same category with the incorporated towns of 500 inhabitants, carefully guarding the same, however, so as to make it apply only where police protection now exists, and where police protection is already being provided, thus preventing the appointment of policemen for the purpose.

The amendment is as follows: Amend Senate bill, Page 12, line 12 after the word "thereof" insert the following: "Or where, such application is made for license to be exercised in any community in a county, contiguous to a city, though such community is not incorporated, having on the first day of March, 1905, police protection for by the public, and wherein the court, upon evidence, is satisfied that there is, within a radius of one-half of a mile of the place where such application is made, a town of 500 inhabitants, and wherein license for the sale of liquor have been granted during the twelve months next prior to the passage of this act; provided that no part of any city or incorporated town or of any other county shall be included within such radius."

A TEMPERANCE MAN.

Mr. Cogbill distinctly stated that while he was in line with the temperance people, and the Mann bill as an amendment to the Mann bill, he did not regard it as a compromise of principle, but as a compromise of expediency.

He declared that the "blue pencil" paper telling the fate of Tennessee senators who voted against a measure like this, did not, in his opinion, do them any harm, but that it was a good deal of trouble to them, and he did not want to do it.

Mr. Harman prophesied (he said he would not make it a threat) that the senators who opposed this bill would be reduced to private life. He regretted to have to say so, and, if they would, reform he would like to serve another session with them for he liked them all, he loved to look into their smiling faces and grasp their cordial hands.

ABSTRACT PROPOSITION.

Speaking of the police protection so much talked about, Mr. Harman said it reminded him of turning a mad dog loose and hiring a man to guard the dog. He declared that liquor was responsible for nearly all the crimes.

Mr. Mann, who had not said a word to say up to 1:15 o'clock followed Mr. Harman. He distributed compliments right and left to his brother Senators. There was a good deal of humor in his remarks and he produced a great laugh at the expense of Mr. Bryant when he referred to him as the "Senator from Oakwood."

The Judge replied in detail to the arguments for and against the bill. He declared that his bill was not a revenue measure but some of its provisions had been placed in the tax bill.

"But," said he, "granting for the sake of argument that it is a revenue bill, I think I can show that the Senate should not pass it."

The Judge then argued with great force as to the evils of liquor, and the crimes it leads to, and the sorrow it occasions. He declared that the bill was a compromise, and that it was a compromise of principle.

Replying to the argument that the bill was a compromise, Judge Mann pointed out the evils of liquor, and the crimes it leads to, and the sorrow it occasions. He declared that the bill was a compromise, and that it was a compromise of principle.

Mr. Bryant said that in counties contiguous to cities the people would go into cities and get whiskey while the counties would lose revenue. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Bryant said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Bryant said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Bryant said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Bryant said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Bryant said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Bryant said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Bryant said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Bryant said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Bryant said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Bryant said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Bryant said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Bryant said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Bryant said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Bryant said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Bryant said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Bryant said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

Mr. Bryant said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it. He said that he was not in favor of the Mann bill, but he was in favor of the principle of it.

the patronage that comes to the regular houses, but to which anyone can go to buy drinks.

Mr. Keesell's amendment was adopted, but not giving away liquor.

Mr. Harvey sought to have the bill amended so that clubs of less than fifty members shall sell or give away liquor. Mr. Sale came up with a strong defense of clubs of less than fifty members, and could see no reason why they should be discriminated against.

"If this theory should be put into practice," said Mr. Sale, "we might as well pass a law prescribing how often a man shall go to church."

"That would only affect the country people," said Mr. Sale, "for the Mann bill applies only to country people."

The Harvey amendment stood up and walked and was adopted and became a feature of the bill.

Mr. Shackelford tried to have the license fee reduced to \$400. He could not see why the clubs, with a limited custom, should pay a bar-room license higher than that paid by the greatest money making saloons in the State.

Mr. Shackelford made a strong fight for his amendment, but it was lost.

Just before the sun went down the liquor question was settled; the bill, as passed by the House and amended by the Senate, was ordered to its engrossment, and Mr. Lupton had failed to secure an amendment striking out the five per cent. tax for pensions.

VOYE RECONSIDERED.

Mr. Sale tried a parting shot at the Mann bill, but he was not successful. He secured the only thing he had advocated all day long. Mr. Sale pointed out that there were hundreds of clubs of less than fifty members that did not sell liquor for profit, and to require these clubs to have fifty members was absurd. The vote by which the Harvey amendment was reconsidered and the amendment was rejected, was 18 to 15.

The sun was just sinking in the western skies when Mr. Wickham arose and said: "Mr. President, if there are no other amendments to be offered, I move that the vote by which amendments were adopted be reconsidered."

The motion was put; the Senate refused to reconsider. Then the bill was passed. The House (Republican) voting against it. The measure now goes back to the House.

ENCOUNTER IN THE SENATE.

(Continued From First Page.)

his position with reference thereto. He had asked that he be allowed to speak without interruption, and once Senator Bryant had arisen to an inquiry. Will the Senate please suspend the rules?

Mr. Bryant: "No," was the quick and sharp reply, and Senators could see that the Harman member was somewhat nettled. He had offered an amendment designed to exempt counties contiguous to cities having proper police protection, and it was with reference to this that Judge Mann was speaking.

When he had concluded, Mr. Bryant arose, and while apparently laboring under some excitement, he spoke with the utmost deliberation.

A STRONG CHARGE.

He charged that Judge Mann had been guilty of interrupting and interrupting and unjust in his criticisms upon his amendment, and he had proceeded but a little further when he quoted from Shakespeare as follows, applying the language to Judge Mann:

"I thought that all your wisdom would end in foolishness, and that your reason would end in folly."

In his opinion, Judge Mann had been guilty of interrupting and interrupting and unjust in his criticisms upon his amendment, and he had proceeded but a little further when he quoted from Shakespeare as follows, applying the language to Judge Mann:

"I thought that all your wisdom would end in foolishness, and that your reason would end in folly."

Mr. Bryant then arose and asked if he correctly understood the language of his colleague. The two Senators stood facing one another in arm's reach and a wave of suppressed excitement swept over the chamber.

For a second they eyed each other in silence. Then Mr. Bryant began relating his statements that Judge Mann had been unfair in declining to be interrupted, and then in his criticism of his amendment. Judge Mann demanded to know if the Harman Senator meant to reflect upon his integrity, and again the Senator started to explain, but he was interrupted by a little more of the repeating what he had hitherto charged when Judge Mann struck him heavily with his right fist. Mr. Bryant threw up his arm and recoiled back into his chair.

MADDED UP LATER.

Here the incident ended for the present. Mr. Bryant proceeded with his speech, and the Senate and several of the members were on hand in a second, and would have prevented further trouble had it been attempted. When the body reconvened in the afternoon Mr. Bryant, rising to a question of privilege, stated that he had not meant to reflect in any way upon the integrity or honesty of Judge Mann in the Senate, but that he had thought him unfair in declining